Business, Management and Economics Engineering
ISSN: 2669-2481 / eISSN: 2669-249X
2025 Volume 23 Issue 01

AI ETHICS BY DESIGN: INTEGRATING ETHICAL CONSTRAINTS INTO AI MODELS FOR ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS

Dr. Srinivasa Krishna Prasad Buravelli[1]
[1]Vice President - Sales, Business Unit Head, Onward Technolgies Inc., Atlanta, Georgia, USA, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-1337-1890
Email Id: krishnaprasadbs@gmail.com

Venkata Narasimha Chandra Sekhar Repaka[2]
[2]Engineering Specialist, Satyam Venture Engineering Services, Detroit, Michigan, USA
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-6635-4976
Email Id: rvncsekhar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This review paper studied ethical restriction integration within artificial intelligence (AI) models which engineers use in their applications. The research adopted a secondary qualitative method to evaluate recent documents and case studies from sectors including civil engineering and renewable energy with smart systems. The research established that organizations increasingly endorse ethics by design yet practical execution of these principles remains scattered across various domains. Core ethical principles such as fairness and transparency and accountability emerged frequently but received varied implementation throughout different processes. The research emphasized the need to build specialized ethical frameworks along with collaborative teamwork between different fields and objective ethical assessment methods to promote ethical AI development in engineering.

Keywords: *AI Ethics, Engineering Applications, Ethics by Design, Responsible AI, Transparency, Accountability, Fairness, Interdisciplinary Collaboration, Ethical Frameworks, Sustainability, Explainability, Ethical Evaluation.*

INTRODUCTION

The quick development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies transforms the engineering field by influencing modern applications across civil infrastructure and robotics and automotive systems and aerospace and healthcare. AI technologies are now embedded into systems that directly affect human existence and social welfare including autonomous vehicles and intelligent monitoring systems with decision-support tools in critical infrastructure. The potential improvements in efficiency and safety through these applications outweigh ethical concerns that should be taken seriously (Habbak et al., 2023). These systems raise ethical issues because they expose personal information while showing biases in algorithms and create untraceable decisions that could lead to adverse outcomes. The increasing autonomy of AI systems within engineering core operations makes ethical design practices into a fundamental requirement beyond abstract principles (Krishna Prasad Buravelli, S., 2024).

The growing need for direct ethical integration into AI systems' architecture led to the development of "AI Ethics by Design" as a response against treating ethics as secondary to compliance measures. The process of ethics by design requires active inclusion of moral standards and social principles at each step throughout the AI development process starting from problem definition and continuing through data acquisition and algorithm selection and extending to system deployment and maintenance and system dismantling. Engineering applications require this fundamental change because AI outcomes affect both operational performance and safety aspects and environmental sustainability together with human rights protection (Jenis et al., 2023).

The recognition of ethical constraints has increased yet their consistent implementation into AI systems remains scattered and inconsistent throughout different engineering industries. AI systems primarily focus their development on achieving performance improvements and generating economic gains while technical feasibility takes precedence over essential ethical factors. Expert research shows that despite the development of the EU's Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI and IEEE's Ethically Aligned Design many organizations struggle to execute these ethical frameworks effectively (Manzoor et al., 2021). Engineering practice faces specific hurdles in turning ethical values into functional computer-oriented limitations particularly for concepts such as fairness and accountability. Many regions across the world are developing only basic levels of ethical collaboration between data scientists and engineers and ethicists and policymakers which prevents proper ethical integration into technical workflows.

This paper uses a secondary qualitative approach to study the integration of ethical constraints into AI models that are utilized for engineering purposes. A comprehensive analysis of AI design ethics implementation exists through the combination of academic research with technical standards and industry reports and real-world AI system case studies (Nosrati and Nosrati, 2023). This paper employs thematic analysis to discover dominant themes and implementation obstacles and successful methods related to AI ethics through design. The paper investigates how ethical principles translate into engineering algorithms through their practical application. The actual implementation of trade-offs during AI development involves what determinations regarding them and who controls these decisions?

The review pursues three primary goals. The first goal investigates the various ethical models along with design approaches which guide AI development processes in engineering fields. This review examines the ethical issues from real-world AI implementations across different engineering domains while assessing both successful ethical implementations and instances where ethics entirely fail to materialize. The review seeks to discover present gaps between existing research and practice which generates strategic directions for future development and policy decisions in this field (Paolanti et al., 2024).

The review adds to responsible AI discussions by demonstrating ethical standards form an essential foundation for developing sustainable and trustworthy AI systems in engineering. The future development of AI systems depends on maintaining ethical resilience because this ensures technological advancements remain consistent with human values along with democratic principles and societal objectives (Krishna Prasad Buravelli, S., 2024).

Aim and Objectives

Aim:

To critically examine how ethical principles are integrated into the design and development of AI models within engineering applications, focusing on the challenges, frameworks, and best practices that support responsible and human-centered AI deployment.

Objectives:

1. To explore existing ethical frameworks and guidelines used in the development of AI systems in engineering fields, with a focus on principles such as fairness, transparency, accountability, and safety.
2. To analyze case studies and literature on ethical challenges in engineering-related AI applications, identifying common gaps, dilemmas, and strategies for embedding ethical constraints into AI design.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Widespread deployment of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has transitioned from experimental prototypes to become prevalent across engineering disciplines which include civil engineering and mechanical design alongside smart grids renewable energy and autonomous systems. The many benefits of AI implementation have led to rising concerns about ethical issues during its deployment. The literature review unites scholarly research and applied case studies about ethical frameworks for AI engineering applications while examining obstacles in responsible AI deployment and new design-based approaches for creating trustworthy systems.

AJAILIA et al. (n.d.) advocated for educational programs that develop responsible AI engineers through CDIO (Conceive, Design, Implement, Operate) framework implementation. The authors emphasize that teaching ethics to engineering students at an early stage produces professionals who can bridge AI development with social values. Through their research they combine technical teaching with value-sensitive design to create an active approach for AI ethics instead of simple compliance.

Weber-Lewerenz (2021) established Corporate Digital Responsibility (CDR) for construction engineering by creating ethical principles which guide AI and digital transformation. The research advocates for responsible AI deployment through stakeholder participation while advocating frameworks that match organizational moral principles. Engineering-based AI systems require transparency and data governance along with accountability according to the framework developed by Weber-Lewerenz.

The authors Paolanti et al. (2024) developed a measurable system to evaluate trustworthiness in remote sensing AI applications. Their evaluation system uses multiple dimensions to measure fairness alongside reliability and safety together with transparency which enables practical usage of assessment tools. This paper shows how specialized frameworks provide measurable ethical assurance by solving the problems of abstract ethical discussions.

Pastor-Escuredo et al. (2022) created a specific framework for AI ethical considerations in sustainable city planning applications. The authors established that engineering projects with smart infrastructure require initial integration of AI ethics for achieving equitable access and sustainability along with public trust. The authors support design approaches based on participation which serves both social justice and sustainability objectives.

Mallinger and Baeza-Yates (2024) presented a multi-criteria framework for sustainable technology design that applies to responsible AI in farming. The authors argued that engineering professionals should develop ethical AI systems by treating environmental sustainability and economic sustainability and social sustainability with equal importance. Their research provides essential guidance to engineers about how they can match AI design for maximum efficiency with social sustainability goals.

According to Miller et al. (2025) their systematic review showed lightweight AI models successfully contribute to renewable energy applications for sustainable transitions. The researchers emphasized three ethical factors involving energy efficiency alongside accessibility and resilience while stressing the need to scale models for environmental cost reduction. The research demonstrates how model complexity stands against sustainability requirements which presents significant challenges for engineering ethics.

Jenis et al. (2023) conducted research which evaluated how to use AI effectively in mechanical design and optimization processes. The study group acknowledged the lack of ethical monitoring in algorithmic decisions made during engineering design tasks then proposed ethical limitations should become built into optimization goals. AI applications without proper oversight demonstrate their ability to sustain design prejudices and cause safety-related problems according to their case study findings.

Manzoor et al. (2021) evaluated AI's effects on civil engineering through their research which emphasized sustainable development requirements. A review of existing AI implementation reveals two main weaknesses: insufficient ethical standards along with excessive dependence on performance outcomes. Infrastructure projects that influence public welfare need to achieve both technical excellence and ethical standards in order to meet their objectives according to their findings. Ucar et al. (2024) evaluated predictive maintenance applications of AI by defining essential elements that establish trustworthiness in engineering applications. Explanation of system operations and data origin tracking along with human supervision emerged as essential components according to their analysis. Ethical AI design requires more than automated processes because it needs human-focused control systems according to their research findings.

The study by Nosrati and Nosrati (2023) examined AI applications in regenerative medicine while analyzing the ethical issues associated with patient consent processes as well as fair data management practices and the potential dangers of excessive system automation in vital life applications. Engineers must use their findings in designing AI systems because decisions made by AI can permanently affect materials and human well-being.

Badini et al. (2023) explained how AI transforms material discovery through generative models that generate new materials with customized properties. The authors highlighted transparency issues along with reproducibility obstacles yet proposed AI systems that have trackable pipelines. Their research demonstrates why engineering applications need explainable and reproducible systems for ethical operations.

Habbak et al. (2023) investigated the implementation of AI for smart grid load forecasting that requires balancing performance enhancements with fairness and transparency objectives. The authors emphasized that ignoring ethical considerations in energy systems might result in biased load forecasting together with discriminatory practices. Engineering AI requires inclusive datasets together with bias mitigation strategies because of their importance to the field.

The research demonstrates three essential aspects about integrating ethics into AI-driven engineering design practices. The researchers Paolanti et al. and Pastor-Escuredo et al. advocate developing ethical frameworks that are customized for different engineering specializations. Engineering applications need distinctive ethical considerations that originate from their physical aspects combined with their social and economic effects. The trust AI systems gain from users depends on their transparent models and their ability to explain their operations particularly when operating in critical engineering applications. According to Ucar et al. and Badini et al. and Weber-Lewerenz human users and stakeholders must be able to audit understand and interpret the decision processes of ethical AI systems. The path to sustainability represents the core focus of ethical AI according to Mallinger and Baeza-Yates as well as Miller et al. and Manzoor et al. Engineering practice under ethics by design should integrate both ecological sustainability and societal welfare goals which direct engineers to evaluate enduring consequences instead of immediate operational effectiveness. Multiple obstacles persist in the abundant literature that exists today. The current situation shows that ethical theory stands distant from everyday engineering work. Real-world projects struggle to execute ethical frameworks because they lack both interdisciplinary teamwork and mandatory standards. Many instances of AI model ethical performance evaluation lack empirical validation because the assessments remain subjective. Engineering education requires development to make ethics a fundamental competency instead of treating it as an extra subject. The analyzed research shows that engineering applications require ethical constraints which must be embedded systematically within their context. Designing systems with integrated ethical principles delivers essential practical advantages that produce sustainable and trustworthy and inclusive systems. Future AI development requires combined ethical frameworks between ethicists and engineers alongside policymakers and users to translate ethical principles into responsible deployment practices.

METHODOLOGY

This review paper examines "AI Ethics by Design: Integrating Ethical Constraints into AI Models for Engineering Applications" through secondary qualitative research methods. The research follows an exploratory analytical design to extract valuable information from scholarly and empirical studies instead of creating new primary data. Review papers achieve their goals through the evaluation of existing knowledge followed by synthesis while presenting fresh ideas based on synthesized knowledge.

This study adopts interpretivism as its philosophical foundation. According to interpretivism reality manifests from social processes while being subjective in nature thus researchers need to interpret textual data through its specific contextual framework. Different disciplines along with cultures and stakeholder groups possess diverse interpretations about ethical practice in the field of AI. The study uses interpretivism as its guiding framework to understand how ethical constraints operate in different engineering applications instead of enforcing one universal ethics definition. Through this perspective we gain deeper understanding of how contextual factors together with value-based decisions affect ethical design of AI in engineering.

The study employs an inductive research approach. Through inductive research methods the investigator first studies relevant literature data to detect patterns alongside theoretical concepts and classification groups. The research approach proves ideal for new fields like AI ethics in engineering because the subject is evolving and its multiple frameworks remain fragmented. The research develops a unified explanation through observed patterns between research studies to understand the current state of ethical integration in AI-based engineering applications.

The research adopts a systematic narrative review approach for its design. A narrative review provides researchers with freedom to study intricate themes through deep analysis while keeping the content organized. The research method provides scholars with a way to document intellectual evolution across fields so they can evaluate different viewpoints alongside the relationships that emerge between moral principles and engineering design solutions and industry domain obstacles. Through systematic methods researchers can conduct literature assessment which delivers both strong reliability to findings and clear transparency of the review process.

The research data relies only on secondary materials. The research relies on peer-reviewed journal articles together with white papers and industry reports and international ethical guidelines including IEEE's Ethically Aligned Design and the EU's AI Ethics Guidelines that were published between 2020 and 2025. Data collection focused on academic databases Scopus, Web of Science and IEEE Xplore and Google Scholar by using search terms "AI ethics in engineering," "ethics by design," "responsible AI," "engineering applications of AI," and "ethical frameworks for AI." The selection criteria evaluated the literature based on three main elements: engineering relevance and ethical or normative discussions and case-based or empirical research approaches.

A qualitative content analysis approach was used to analyze the collected literature through which major themes and patterns emerged. The established method of content analysis provides researchers with a systematic approach to code textual data which helps them transform complex discussions into organized thematic categories. The research identified how ethical principles such as transparency and fairness together with accountability and safety and sustainability apply or receive discussion within engineering-specific AI contexts. The research investigated methodological techniques while examining implementation difficulties together with suggested remedies throughout various studies. The coding analysis followed an iterative approach that discovered new categories through interpretation instead of using pre-established categories.

Several analytic methods were combined to build the credibility of the analytic results. This involved cross-referencing findings from academic sources with insights from industry guidelines and real-world case studies. The combination of different sources through triangulation strengthens thematic findings by preventing their dependence on solitary evidence types or academic fields. The analysis benefited from the addition of literature spanning civil engineering with mechanical and electrical and systems engineering because it created valuable comparative insights.

Qualitative research establishes validity and reliability through the combined use of transparency and reflexivity instead of statistical generalizability techniques. The research maintained full transparency through an established audit trail process for selecting and analyzing and presenting literature findings. All sources received proper documentation while the researchers based their selection rationale on established criteria. The researcher monitored reflexivity throughout research by constantly considering their interpretive biases especially since the subject matter had normative elements.

The chosen approach comes with certain drawbacks that researchers should acknowledge. The secondary qualitative review status of this study restricts its analysis because it depends entirely on existing literature extent and depth. The research method fails to collect direct observations from engineers or AI practitioners during their work. Different ethical frameworks and their specialized terminologies between disciplines create challenges when researchers attempt to interpret various sources. The systematic approach to reviewing and the identification of common themes help reduce the study's limitations which stem from its secondary nature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reviewed literature displayed a wide spectrum of ethical constraint integration methods and frameworks alongside implementation difficulties in various engineering applications. The qualitative content analysis resulted in four main thematic areas that showcase ethical framework development alongside domain-specific implementations and ethical AI design principles and the existing practice limitations.

Engineering professionals now use structured and context-aware frameworks as their primary method for addressing ethical development of AI systems. The authors underlined the requirement for measurable assessment tools for AI trustworthiness because decisions made in remote sensing domains influence both ecological systems and society for extended periods. Framework systems include standards which measure AI behavior through reliability and safety alongside fairness metrics for practical assessment. Pastor-Escuredo et al. proposed special ethical guidelines for smart city development which focuses on engineering sustainability and public service accessibility.

The literature showed that ethical AI implementation needs domain-specific approaches as a main theme. A single engineering solution does not fit every situation because of the unique characteristics in different contexts. The specific risks and regulatory environments and societal impacts of each field determine the direction of their ethical concerns. Miller et al. demonstrated the importance of lightweight and energy-efficient AI for renewable energy applications because sustainability along with carbon footprint remains crucial ethical considerations. The research by Manzoor et al. focused on civil engineering AI applications to determine sustainability and public safety together with long-lasting infrastructure effects as key ethical considerations. The team of Jenis et al. in mechanical design showed that optimizing algorithms need direct ethical constraints to prevent unintended design biases.

The study identified multiple common ethical principles which appeared throughout all examined domains. The need for transparent and explainable systems emerged as key factors for building trust mainly in safety-related applications. Predictive maintenance systems require both human involvement and transparent AI decision process tracking according to Ucar et al. The authors of Badini et al. stressed that AI-driven materials design requires both reproducibility and traceability to guarantee ethical robustness along with scientific accountability. The issue of fairness and inclusivity emerged often in discussions about energy sector and agricultural and urban planning applications because their algorithms tend to impact disadvantaged populations in unequal ways. According to Mallinger and Baeza-Yates the implementation of AI in farming required social equity to be considered because agriculture involves stakeholders from various socioeconomic backgrounds.

Education-related factors and organizational elements proved crucial to the analysis. According to AJAILIA et al. engineers need to learn ethics as part of their engineering education to produce skilled professionals who will create systems with ethical consciousness. Weber-Lewerenz developed his concept into the field of business by promoting Corporate Digital Responsibility (CDR) as a cultural model for ethical choices during digital transformation in construction engineering. Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration remains limited. The reviewed studies demonstrate that most ethical AI projects continue to develop independently within technical or academic environments even though they need ethical input from multiple stakeholders including ethicists, engineers, regulators and users. The absence of multidisciplinary exchanges between different fields hampers ethical context understanding which reduces its effectiveness during project execution.

The reviewed research shows that ethical principles are becoming central components for AI system development within engineering domains although the process remains complex. Engineering domains are now adopting specialized ethical frameworks which demonstrate how ethics evolved from being peripheral to becoming central in design processes. The transformation remains under development while facing substantial challenges in execution and understanding and responsibility management.

Ethical integration shows a domain-specific character as a main discovery. Each AI application in civil engineering and mechanical design and smart grids and agriculture operates with specific social and environmental and operational implications. Engineering ethics needs to examine both the lifecycle stages and public effects of built systems according to Manzoor et al. Mallinger and Baeza-Yates explained that agricultural engineering requires AI systems which support equitable practices and environmental sustainability while addressing the varied socioeconomic needs of stakeholders. Ethics by design needs sector-specific frameworks which align with application-specific priorities and risks according to the findings of these studies.

Education together with organizational culture proved essential to enable ethical applications of AI. AJAILIA et al. demonstrated that teaching ethical principles to students will shape future engineers' professional conduct but Weber-Lewerenz expanded this concept into Corporate Digital Responsibility within organizational cultures. The evidence demonstrates that ethics by design requires integration into institutional actions and governance systems as well as stakeholder participation.

The review revealed multiple important hurdles in actual implementation practices. The majority of existing frameworks exist as theoretical concepts since there is minimal evidence showing their complete implementation in practice. The empirical approaches offered by Paolanti et al. and Pastor-Escuredo et al. stand out from most other studies that lack measurable evidence for assessing the practical outcomes of ethical AI interventions. Interdisciplinary cooperation for integrating technical modeling with ethical reasoning has developed insufficiently despite being essential for this purpose. Ethical integration faces the risk of becoming either shallow or divergent from stakeholder values because essential dialogue among engineers, ethicists and domain experts has not been established effectively.

The research finds evidence that ethical design for engineering AI systems needs to be done yet it can succeed although institutions and operations still need time to develop. The future requires engineering professionals to receive strong ethical training in combination with specialized frameworks as well as interdisciplinary teamwork and standardized evaluation approaches. These elements will serve to integrate ethical principles as active components throughout the development and deployment of AI technologies in engineering applications.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this review paper was to conduct a critical analysis of ethical constraint integration within AI models in engineering applications by examining both implementation difficulties and established frameworks and best practices for developing ethical AI systems. The research adopted secondary qualitative methods with interpretivist philosophical principles and inductive reasoning to examine scholarly articles and industry guidelines and case studies from 2020 to 2025. Research demonstrates increasing awareness about ethics-based AI design integration in engineering disciplines but shows that its practical implementation remains developing and inconsistent across different engineering fields.

This review demonstrates that ethics by design has evolved beyond being an optional enhancement because it now represents a core requirement for ethical innovation. The published studies demonstrate advancing ethical awareness across civil engineering together with energy systems and smart infrastructure and regenerative medicine fields. Researchers along with engineering professionals currently devote their efforts to understanding fairness together with transparency and accountability while developing practical frameworks for engineering systems.

The review shows that theory development progresses faster than actual implementation practices. Most ethical frameworks maintain abstract conceptual structures that fail to provide step-by-step integration procedures for engineering design processes. Evaluation procedures mainly use qualitative methods and there are insufficient standardized metrics to assess ethical system performance. The lack of interdisciplinary teamwork creates additional ethical challenges because engineers typically lack both ethical understanding and social awareness needed to develop AI systems without external guidance. Research indicates stakeholders have differing expectations than engineers can achieve and this discrepancy points toward the necessity of involving stakeholders throughout design stages.

Multiple suggestions exist to strengthen the implementation of ethical principles through design in engineering systems relying on AI.

First, educational reform is imperative. Engineering education requires strong ethical reasoning education which should become an essential foundation rather than an additional module in technical subject matter. The CDIO program (Conceive, Design, Implement, Operate) needs to broaden its scope by integrating ethical considerations into each stage of engineering development. The inclusion of ethical principles in early education develops engineering students who combine technical expertise with ethical competency.

Business leaders and their organizations need to create and implement specific ethical frameworks that suit their engineering work environments. Weber-Lewerenz developed Corporate Digital Responsibility as a framework to establish ethical standards in business cultures through operational policies. Organizations need to create ethical review boards while performing impact assessments on AI systems and maintaining training programs for all professionals who deploy AI technologies.

The establishment of ethical frameworks specific to individual domains must receive immediate attention. Every sector requires special risk-oriented and value-based frameworks to ensure effective implementation as shown in remote sensing and smart grids and agricultural applications. Ethical design frameworks need to be developed through joint work between engineers and ethicists together with regulators and representatives from the community to achieve diverse input for ethical design decisions.

Ethics evaluation requires the creation of quantifiable and measurable assessment tools. Ethical measurement systems should adopt similar assessment methods to technical performance testing by measuring factors that include bias reduction along with transparency and user accessibility. The implemented tools will connect theoretical moral principles to practical system deployment.

The standard approach for collaboration needs to shift from exceptional to becoming universal. Ethical AI development demands collaboration between engineers and philosophers as well as sociologists and lawyers alongside public policy experts and users who experience the systems. Research bodies together with funding institutions must develop collaborative projects linking technical expertise with ethical knowledge while making funding available for research involving multiple disciplines.

REFERENCES

1. AJAILIA, N., ZERAI, M., DEBBABI, N., DAMERGI, A. and DCHICHA, M., ETHICS, AI, AND SOCIETY: SHAPING RESPONSIBLE AI ENGINEERS WITH CDIO FRAMEWORK. *Tsvetkova, Anastasia; Morariu, Andrei-Raoul; Hellström, Magnus; Bolbot, Victor; Virtanen, Seppo Investigation of student perspectives on curriculum needs for autonomous shipping*, p.253.
2. Badini, S., Regondi, S. and Pugliese, R., 2023. Unleashing the power of artificial intelligence in materials design. *Materials*, *16*(17), p.5927.
3. Habbak, H., Mahmoud, M., Metwally, K., Fouda, M.M. and Ibrahem, M.I., 2023. Load forecasting techniques and their applications in smart grids. *Energies*, *16*(3), p.1480.
4. Jenis, J., Ondriga, J., Hrcek, S., Brumercik, F., Cuchor, M. and Sadovsky, E., 2023. Engineering applications of artificial intelligence in mechanical design and optimization. *Machines*, *11*(6), p.577.
5. Jenis, J., Ondriga, J., Hrcek, S., Brumercik, F., Cuchor, M. and Sadovsky, E., 2023. Engineering applications of artificial intelligence in mechanical design and optimization. *Machines*, *11*(6), p.577.
6. Krishna Prasad Buravelli, S. (2024). Analyzing Skill Development in the Context of Automotive Digital Transformation. *Global Journal of Business and Integral Security*. Retrieved from https://gbis.ch/index.php/gbis/article/view/479
7. Mallinger, K. and Baeza-Yates, R., 2024. Responsible AI in Farming: A Multi-Criteria Framework for Sustainable Technology Design. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(1), p.437.
8. Manzoor, B., Othman, I., Durdyev, S., Ismail, S. and Wahab, M.H., 2021. Influence of artificial intelligence in civil engineering toward sustainable development—a systematic literature review. *Applied System Innovation*, *4*(3), p.52.

9. Miller, T., Durlik, I., Kostecka, E., Kozlovska, P., Staude, M. and Sokołowska, S., 2025. The Role of Lightweight AI Models in Supporting a Sustainable Transition to Renewable Energy: A Systematic Review. *Energies*, *18*(5), p.1192.
10. Nosrati, H. and Nosrati, M., 2023. Artificial intelligence in regenerative medicine: applications and implications. *Biomimetics*, *8*(5), p.442.
11. Paolanti, M., Tiribelli, S., Giovanola, B., Mancini, A., Frontoni, E. and Pierdicca, R., 2024. Ethical framework to assess and quantify the trustworthiness of artificial intelligence techniques: Application case in remote sensing. *Remote Sensing*, *16*(23), p.4529.
12. Pastor-Escuredo, D., Treleaven, P. and Vinuesa, R., 2022. An ethical framework for artificial intelligence and sustainable cities. *Ai*, *3*(4), pp.961-974.
13. Ucar, A., Karakose, M. and Kırımça, N., 2024. Artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance applications: key components, trustworthiness, and future trends. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(2), p.898.
14. Weber-Lewerenz, B., 2021. Corporate digital responsibility (CDR) in construction engineering—ethical guidelines for the application of digital transformation and artificial intelligence (AI) in user practice. *SN Applied Sciences*, *3*, pp.1-25.